

Dynamical Systems/Ordinary Differential Equations

# Extension of invariant manifolds and applications

Brahim Abbaci

Université des sciences et de la technologie Houari-Boumediène, faculté de mathématiques, BP 32, El Alia, 16111 Alger, Algeria

Received 1 October 2005; accepted 19 October 2005

Available online 18 November 2005

Presented by Étienne Ghys

## Abstract

We state an extension theorem for invariant manifolds of diffeomorphisms near a ‘normally hyperbolic’ invariant torus. We apply this result in particular to the resolution of equations  $\mathcal{L}_{U_j}(f) = \theta_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ) where the  $U_j$ 's are linear diagonal vector fields and the  $\theta_j$ 's are germs at 0 of smooth functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . **To cite this article:** B. Abbaci, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 341 (2005). © 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

**Prolongement de variétés invariantes et applications.** Nous énonçons un théorème de prolongement de variété invariante et nous en donnons une application à la résolution des équations  $\mathcal{L}_{U_j}(f) = \theta_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ) où les  $U_j$  sont des champs de vecteurs linéaires diagonaux et les  $\theta_j$  des germes en 0 de fonctions  $C^\infty$  de  $\mathbf{R}^n$  vérifiant certaines conditions. **Pour citer cet article :** B. Abbaci, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 341 (2005).

© 2005 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.

## Version française abrégée

### Hypothèses

Soit  $h : (M, \Sigma) \rightarrow (M, \Sigma)$  un germe en  $\Sigma := \mathbf{T}^r \times \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  de difféomorphisme  $C^\infty$  de la variété  $M := \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^q$  donné par  $h(\theta, x, y, z) = (e(\theta, x, y), f_+(\theta, x, y), f_-(\theta, x, y), g(\theta, x, y, z))$ . On suppose que les germes en  $\Sigma$  de  $W^+ := \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \{(0, 0)\}$  et  $W^- := \mathbf{T}^r \times \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \{0\}$  sont  $h$ -invariants et que celui de  $W := \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \{0\}$  a un contact infini avec son image par  $h$  le long de  $W^+ \cup W^-$ . On suppose en outre (« hyperbolicité normale ») que les automorphismes linéaires  $A_\theta \in \text{GL}(\mathbf{R}^\ell)$  et  $B_\theta \in \text{GL}(\mathbf{R}^m)$  définis par  $A_\theta := \frac{\partial f_+}{\partial x}(\theta, 0, 0)$  et  $B_\theta := \frac{\partial f_-}{\partial y}(\theta, 0, 0)$  vérifient

$$\sup_{\theta} |A_\theta| < 1 \quad \text{et} \quad \sup_{\theta} |B_\theta^{-1}| < 1. \tag{HN}$$

On a alors le théorème de prolongement suivant [1] :

E-mail address: [abbaci@math.jussieu.fr](mailto:abbaci@math.jussieu.fr) (B. Abbaci).

**Théorème 0.1.** *Sous ces hypothèses, tout germe en  $\Sigma$  de sous-variété  $V$  de  $M \setminus W^-$  de classe  $C^\infty$ ,  $h$ -invariant et ayant un contact infini avec  $W$  le long de  $W^+$  se prolonge de manière unique en un germe en  $\Sigma$  de sous-variété  $\tilde{V}$  de  $M$  de classe  $C^\infty$  invariant par  $h$ , qui a un contact infini avec  $W$  le long de  $W^-$ .*

*Indications sur la démonstration [1]*

Dans un voisinage de  $\{y = 0\}$  dans  $M \setminus W^-$ , la sous-variété  $V$  est le graphe d'une application  $\phi : \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  de classe  $C^\infty$  telle que  $\frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial y^j}(\theta, x, 0) = 0$  pour tout  $j$  dans  $\mathbf{N}$ . On prouve le théorème en montrant que, dans un voisinage de  $\{(x, y) = (0, 0)\}$  dans  $M$ , la sous-variété  $V$  se prolonge de manière unique en le graphe invariant par  $h$  d'une application  $C^\infty$  (encore notée  $\phi$ ), et que  $\frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial x^j}(\theta, 0, y) = 0$  pour tout  $j$  dans  $\mathbf{N}$ .

**Remarque 1.** L'adhérence du domaine de définition de l'application  $\phi$  initiale ne contient *a priori* aucun ouvert de  $W^-$ . L'unicité vient donc de l'invariance par le difféomorphisme  $h$ .

La démonstration du Théorème 0.1, qui figurera dans une publication plus développée [2], ressemble à celle du théorème qui fait l'objet de la section (A.6.1) de l'appendice 6 de [3]. Celui-ci, qui joue un rôle central dans la linéarisation des germes d'actions de  $\mathbf{R}^p$  ([3], Chapitre 3), résulte immédiatement du Théorème 0.1, ainsi que les énoncés des sections (A.6.3) et (A.6.5) de l'appendice 6 de [3].

Plutôt que d'y revenir, nous allons prouver un énoncé [1] qui ne figure pas dans [3].

*Une application du théorème de prolongement*

Étant donné un entier  $n \geq 2$ , on pose  $p := n - 1$  et l'on considère  $p$  champs de vecteurs  $U_1, \dots, U_p$  linéaires diagonaux sur  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ; ils commutent donc deux à deux. Si l'on note  $U : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbf{R})$  l'application linéaire  $v \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^p v_i U_i$ , on a  $U(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(v) x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . On suppose que les formes linéaires  $\lambda_i : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  ainsi définies vérifient les hypothèses suivantes :

(H1) L'action  $U$  est *hyperbolique*, c'est-à-dire que les  $\lambda_i$  sont  $p$  à  $p$  indépendantes sur  $\mathbf{R}$ .

(H2) Elle est dans le *domaine de Siegel*, c'est-à-dire que l'enveloppe convexe des  $\lambda_i$  contient l'origine.

Étant donnés des germes  $\theta_j : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  de fonctions  $C^\infty$ , on veut résoudre les équations

$$\mathcal{L}_{U_j} f = \theta_j \quad \text{pour } 1 \leq j \leq p. \quad (\text{E}_j)$$

**Théorème 0.2.** *Si les  $\theta_j$  satisfont aux conditions  $\mathcal{L}_{U_j} \theta_i = \mathcal{L}_{U_i} \theta_j$  pour  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$  (évidemment nécessaires) et sont nuls à tous les ordres le long des hyperplans de coordonnées, alors les germes de fonctions  $f : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  de classe  $C^\infty$ , nuls à tous les ordres le long des hyperplans de coordonnées et vérifiant (E<sub>j</sub>) pour  $1 \leq j \leq p$  forment un espace affine de dimension infinie.*

**Remarque 2.** On en déduit le même théorème pour des germes  $U_j$  nuls en 0 de champs de vecteurs commutant deux à deux et « génériques », car ils sont simultanément  $C^\infty$ -linéarisables [3].

Le Théorème 0.2 et cette généralisation restent vrais [2] pour  $n > p + 1$ , mais il faut alors remplacer les hyperplans de coordonnées par les « sous-espaces fortement invariants » [3] de l'action  $U$  : en nombre fini, ce sont les sous-espaces instables des différents champs de vecteurs  $U(v)$ . La démonstration, qui utilise les idées du Chapitre 3 de [3], est plus complexe que celle donnée ci-après pour  $n = p + 1$ .

Les Éqs. (E<sub>j</sub>) jouent un rôle essentiel dans la linéarisation des structures de Poisson [4,1,2].

*Idée de la preuve du Théorème 0.2*

Pour  $\epsilon > 0$  assez petit,  $Q_p := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x_2| = \dots = |x_n| = \epsilon\}$  coupe chaque orbite de la restriction à  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{x_2 \cdots x_n = 0\}$  de l'action linéaire  $(t, x) \mapsto e^{U(t)} x$  de  $\mathbf{R}^p$  sur  $\mathbf{R}^n$  transversalement en un seul point. En utilisant  $p$  fois le Théorème 0.1, nous montrons que *tout* germe  $f_p$  en  $\{x_1 = 0\}$  de fonction  $C^\infty$  sur  $Q_p$  s'annulant à tous les ordres en  $\{x_1 = 0\}$  est la restriction d'une unique solution  $f$  de notre problème.

### 1. Introduction

**Hypotheses.** Let  $h : (M, \Sigma) \rightarrow (M, \Sigma)$  be a germ at  $\Sigma := \mathbf{T}^r \times \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  of a  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphism of the manifold  $M := \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \mathbf{R}^q$  given by  $h(\theta, x, y, z) = (e(\theta, x, y), f_+(\theta, x, y), f_-(\theta, x, y), g(\theta, x, y, z))$ . We suppose that the germs at  $\Sigma$  of  $W^+ := \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $W^- := \mathbf{T}^r \times \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \{0\}$  are  $h$ -invariant and that the germ of  $W := \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m \times \{0\}$  has an infinite contact with its image by  $h$  along  $W^+ \cup W^-$ . Moreover, we assume (‘normal hyperbolicity’) that the linear automorphisms  $A_\theta \in \text{GL}(\mathbf{R}^\ell)$  and  $B_\theta \in \text{GL}(\mathbf{R}^m)$  given by  $A_\theta := \frac{\partial f_\pm}{\partial x}(\theta, 0, 0)$  and  $B_\theta := \frac{\partial f_-}{\partial y}(\theta, 0, 0)$  satisfy

$$\sup_\theta |A_\theta| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_\theta |B_\theta^{-1}| < 1. \tag{HN}$$

Then, we have the following extension result [1]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under those hypotheses, any  $h$ -invariant germ at  $\Sigma$  of a  $C^\infty$ -submanifold  $V$  of  $M \setminus W^-$  having infinite contact with  $W$  along  $W^+$  has a unique extension to an  $h$ -invariant germ at  $\Sigma$  of a  $C^\infty$ -submanifold  $\tilde{V}$  of  $M$ , which has infinite contact with  $W$  along  $W^-$ .*

*Indications on the proof [1]*

In a neighbourhood of  $\{y = 0\}$  in  $M \setminus W^-$ , the submanifold  $V$  is the graph of a  $C^\infty$  map  $\phi : \mathbf{T}^r \times \mathbf{R}^\ell \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  such that  $\frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial y^j}(\theta, x, 0) = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . The proof consists in establishing that, in a neighbourhood of  $\{(x, y) = (0, 0)\}$  in  $M$ , the submanifold  $V$  can be extended in a unique way to the  $h$ -invariant graph of a  $C^\infty$  map (again denoted by  $\phi$ ), and that  $\frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial x^j}(\theta, 0, y) = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Remark 1.** The closure of the definition domain of the initial  $\phi$  contains a priori no open subset of  $W^-$ . Thus, uniqueness comes from the fact we wish to construct an  $h$ -invariant graph.

The proof of Theorem 1.1, which will be included in a more developed paper [2], looks like the proof of the theorem in Section (A.6.1) of [3], Appendix 6. The latter plays a central role in the linearisation of germs of  $\mathbf{R}^p$  actions ([3], Chapter 3); it follows at once from Theorem 0.1, and so do the statements in sections (A.6.3) and (A.6.5) of [3], Appendix 6.

Instead of these applications, we shall prove a result which cannot be found in [3].

#### 1.1. An application of the extension theorem

Given an integer  $n \geq 2$ , set  $p := n - 1$  and consider  $p$  linear diagonal vector fields  $U_1, \dots, U_p$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ; in particular,  $[U_i, U_j] = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ . If we denote by  $U : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \text{gl}_n(\mathbf{R})$  the linear map  $v \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^p v_i U_i$ , we have that  $U(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(v) x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Suppose that the linear forms  $\lambda_i : \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfy the following hypotheses:

- (H1) The infinitesimal action  $U$  is *hyperbolic*, i.e. any  $p$  of the  $\lambda_i$ ’s are linearly independent.
- (H2) It is in the ‘Siegel domain’, i.e. the convex envelope of the  $\lambda_i$ ’s contains the origin.

Given germs  $\theta_j : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  of  $C^\infty$  functions, we wish to solve the equations

$$\mathcal{L}_{U_j} f = \theta_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p. \tag{E_j}$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *If the  $\theta_j$ ’s satisfy the obviously necessary conditions  $\mathcal{L}_{U_j} \theta_i = \mathcal{L}_{U_i} \theta_j$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$  and vanish at every order along the coordinate hyperplanes, then the germs  $f : (\mathbf{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which vanish at all orders along the coordinate hyperplanes and solve the equations (E<sub>j</sub>) for  $1 \leq j \leq p$  form an infinite dimensional affine space.*

**Remark 2.** This implies the analogous theorem for generic germs  $U_j$  of mutually commuting vector fields vanishing at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , for they can be simultaneously  $C^\infty$ -linearised [3].

Theorem 1.2 (and this generalisation) remain true for  $n > p + 1$ , provided the coordinate hyperplanes are replaced by the ‘strongly invariant subspaces’ [3] of the action  $U$ : these are the unstable subspaces of the various vector fields  $U(v)$ , and they form a finite set. The proof [2], which uses the ideas of Chapter 3 in [3], is more complex than for  $n = p + 1$ .

The equations  $(E_j)$  play a key role in the linearisation of Poisson structures [4,1,2].

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

*2.1. Choice of a good presentation of the action; ‘quasi-quotients’*

Setting  $\Theta(v) := v_1\theta_1 + \dots + v_p\theta_p$ , the problem we wish to solve is equivalent to

$$\forall v \in \mathbf{R}^p \quad \mathcal{L}_{U(v)}f = \Theta(v)$$

and therefore, in  $(E_j)$ , we can take  $U_1 := U(e_1), \dots, U_p := U(e_p)$  for any basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_p)$  of  $\mathbf{R}^p$ .

As the action is hyperbolic, the hypothesis  $0 \in \text{Conv}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  reads

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \lambda_i \quad \text{with } \alpha_1 > 0, \dots, \alpha_n > 0. \tag{1}$$

We choose the basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_p)$  of  $\mathbf{R}^p$  as follows:  $e_k$  is determined by the conditions

$$\lambda_1(e_k) = \dots = \lambda_k(e_k) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j(e_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k + 1 < j \leq n,$$

which imply  $\lambda_{k+1}(e_k) < 0$  because of (1). To check that the vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_p$  are linearly independent, assume  $\sum_{k=1}^p \mu_k e_k = 0$  and apply  $\lambda_n, \dots, \lambda_2$  to this equality to get  $\mu_p = \dots = \mu_1 = 0$ .

Given some small  $\epsilon > 0$ , and setting  $Q_d := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x_j| = \epsilon \text{ for } n - d < j \leq n\}$ ,  $0 \leq d \leq p$  (hence  $Q_0 = \mathbf{R}^n$ ), we have the following: for  $1 \leq k \leq p$ , the vector field  $U_k := U(e_k)$  is tangent to  $Q_{p-k}$  and each orbit of its restriction to  $K_{p-k+1} := Q_{p-k} \setminus \{x_{n-k} = 0\}$  intersects  $Q_{p-k+1}$  transversally, at exactly one point (thus,  $Q_{p-k+1}$  is a realisation of the quotient of  $K_{p-k+1}$  by the action of  $\mathbf{R}$  generated by  $U_k$ ).

As the  $U_j$ ’s commute, they generate the linear action  $(t, x) \mapsto e^{U(t)}x$  of  $\mathbf{R}^p$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and each  $Q_d$  with  $d > 0$  is the quotient of  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{x_{n-d+1} \dots x_n = 0\}$  by the linear action of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  generated by our new  $U_1, \dots, U_d$ .

We shall prove inductively that, if  $\epsilon$  is small enough, any germ  $f_p$  at  $\{x_1 = 0\}$  of a smooth function on  $Q_p$  vanishing at all orders at  $\{x_1 = 0\}$  is the restriction of a unique solution  $f$  of our problem.

*2.2. End of the proof*

Given an integer  $k < p$ , we make the induction hypothesis that  $f_p$  has a unique extension to a germ  $f_{p-k}$  at  $\{x_1 = \dots = x_{k+1} = 0\}$  of a smooth function on  $Q_{p-k}$ , satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_{U(e_j)}f = \Theta(e_j) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq k$$

and vanishing at all orders along the intersections of the coordinate hyperplanes with  $Q_{p-k}$ . By the very definition of  $f_p$ , this is satisfied if  $k = 0$ . We shall show that, if  $\epsilon$  is small enough, the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{U_{k+1}}f = \Theta_{k+1} := \Theta(e_{k+1}), \\ f|_{Q_{p-k}} = f_{p-k}, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

(where  $U_{k+1} = U(e_{k+1})$ ) has a unique solution in  $Q_{p-k-1}$ , whose germ  $f_{p-k-1}$  at  $\{x_1 = \dots = x_{k+2} = 0\}$  satisfies the induction hypothesis with  $k := k + 1$ , yielding Theorem 1.2 after  $p$  steps.

As  $Q_{p-k-1}$  is the disjoint union of the affine  $(k + 2)$ -planes in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  parallel to  $\mathbf{R}^{k+2} \times \{0\}$  and passing through the points of  $\Sigma_{p-k-1} := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : x_j = 0 \text{ for } j \leq k + 2 \text{ and } x_j = \pm\epsilon \text{ otherwise}\}$ , we may assume  $\epsilon$  small enough for  $\Theta_{k+1}$  to be defined in a neighbourhood  $O_{p-k-1}$  of each point  $o_{p-1}$  of  $\Sigma_{p-k-1}$  in  $Q_{p-k-1}$ , and we shall apply Theorem 1.1 to the germ  $h_{k+1}^t : (Q_{p-k-1} \times \mathbf{R}, (o_{p-k-1}, 0)) \rightarrow (Q_{p-k-1} \times \mathbf{R}, (o_{p-1}, 0))$  given by

$$h_{k+1}^t(x, y) = \left( g_{k+1}^t(x), y + \int_0^t \Theta_{k+1}(g_{k+1}^s(x)) ds \right)$$

for some fixed  $t > 0$ , where  $g_{k+1}^t$  denotes the flow of  $U_{k+1}|_{Q_{p-k-1}}$ . In the coordinate system  $(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2})$ , this flow reads  $g_{k+1}^t(x) = (e^{t\lambda_1(e_{k+1})}x_1, \dots, e^{t\lambda_{k+2}(e_{k+1})}x_{k+2}) = (e^t x_1, \dots, e^t x_{k+1}, e^{t\lambda_{k+2}(e_{k+1})}x_{k+2})$ . Thus, since we have  $\lambda_{k+2}(e_{k+1}) < 0$ , the hypotheses of the Theorem 1.1 are satisfied by  $h_{k+1}^t$  with  $r = 0$ ,  $\ell = k + 1$ ,  $\ell = q = 1$ ,  $A_\theta = e^{t\lambda_{k+2}(e_{k+1})}$  and  $B_\theta = e^t$  if we put the  $(k + 2)$ -nd factor in first position. Thus,  $W_+$  is the  $x_{k+2}$ -axis and  $W^- = \{x_{k+2} = y = 0\}$ . The germ  $V$  to be extended is the (germ at 0 of the) graph of the smooth function  $f$  defined in a neighbourhood of  $W_+ \setminus \{0\}$  in  $Q_{p-k-1} \setminus W^-$  as the solution of the Cauchy problem (2). Indeed, if  $\tau : Q_{p-k-1} \setminus W^- \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is given by  $g_{k+1}^{-\tau(x)}(x) \in Q_{p-k}$  (there is a simple explicit formula!), this solution is  $f(x) = f_{p-k}(g_{k+1}^{-\tau(x)}(x)) + \int_0^{\tau(x)} \Theta_{k+1}(g_{k+1}^{s-\tau(x)}(x)) ds$ . Applying the extension theorem, we get a submanifold  $\tilde{V}$  which is the graph of our function  $f_{p-k-1}$ .

This function vanishes at all orders along the coordinate hyperplanes partly because of the extension theorem and partly because  $f_{p-k}$  and  $\Theta_{k+1}$  do. It satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_{U_j} f = \Theta_j := \Theta(e_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  since  $f_{p-k}$  does,  $[U_{k+1}, U_j] = 0$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{U_j} \Theta_{k+1} = \mathcal{L}_{U_{k+1}} \Theta_j$ .

**References**

[1] B. Abbaci, Variétés invariantes et applications, Thèse, Université Paris 7, 2001.  
 [2] B. Abbaci, An extension theorem for invariant manifold and some applications, in preparation.  
 [3] M. Chaperon, Géométrie différentielle et singularités de systèmes dynamiques, Astérisque (1986) 138–139.  
 [4] J.P. Dufour, Hyperbolic actions of  $\mathbf{R}^p$  on Poisson manifolds, in: P. Dazord, A. Weinstein (Eds.), Symplectic Geometry, Groupoids and Integrable Systems, in: Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 20, Springer, New York, 1989, pp. 137–150.